App. F. IX-4

1936
(Mey Jra
3

File No. 162-36

Serial Nos. 1-2

Previous References

Mej 160-35 1-3
" 2-0 24-3
" 26-36 - 1-2
" 3-3-37 - 1-2

Letter References.

" 229-34 1-
35 "

" 298-38-(1-2)
6.39 - (3-6)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for Jan ' 37.

SUBJECT.

Improvements to Ski
tow at Arrowhead

Sec to Hy Mej Comm
" Comptroller
" Engr
" Hy Comm Mejr

WD 0418—GPB—22,500—29 8 36

4027-30 9 96 1-37

Sanction a further contribution of Rs 5'25'
Stamps
from Mej Funds for 1937-38

1936-37

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mumias)

NOTES.

File No.

SUBJECT HEADING.

Improvements to the Location ... at Hosabidia ...

FROM

The M.C in charge

No. P.C 329/356 Dated 5.11.1936 Received 7.11.36

Diary No. 451	Enclos.	Spare copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

With reference to the ... that as a special case, ... be allowed on the ... Lodi, for the whole ... Depositors ...

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The M.C's letter explains the facts of the case. The Financial Secretary will have to be consulted on the recommendation of the M.C.

17/11 19/11/36

Can King) Yes ...

2 18/11/36

Financial Secretary,

The amount was not kept in the SB and interest thereon as per SB rules cannot be given. This same request was made by the former

2 19/11/36

NOTES—First Sheet

WD 02552—GPB—5 000—10-7-30

last year but was not agreed to by the Dy Comr.

But this same object could be achieved by raising the share of the cost to be borne by Govt and reducing the share to be borne by him – if it is considered absolutely necessary to give him the benefit of the interest which might have been realised if the amount paid by him had been deposited in the S.B. Bank. But I do not think that this Concession is really justified as the work is apparently going to be given for Execution to him – this itself may be regarded as sufficient concession to him

(a) What will be the amount involved? Can it not be given as added contribution from Govt? (b) There was a similar request from [illegible] Cauvery Valley [illegible] years ago (c) [illegible] was the matter settled in that case?

(a) The principal amount involved in this case may be taken approximately at Rs 3500/- and the earliest date from which this amount could be treated

Instalments to Hosakote temple

Serial No.

as S.B. deposit is 16th September 1930. The question of paying some interest on this deposit having come up for consideration now, only current rate of interest on S.B. Deposit viz 2½%. per annum, can be allowed and not the rate that was in force in 1930. Calculated at this rate of interest, the total amount of interest from 16.9.1930 upto date i.e. for 6 years and 3 months, works out to about Rs 546-13-0.

(b) There does not seem to be any objection to make a grant to the extent of Rs 5457- from Govt. But the H.C may perhaps have to be consulted regarding the source etc. from which it has to be met.

(c) All the connected files relating to the Sri Gavarapalli temple at Chickanayakanahalli are perhaps. In his petition dated 31.10.1932 Mr. Nagappa Setty agreed Rs 5250/- towards the construction of the temple and he specifically requested therein that the amount may be kept as S.B. Deposit so that it may earn some interest and necessary instructions have issued to the Comptroller accordingly.

7 Reference para 5 supra

NOTE—Contn Sheet

W D 0117—C P B—30 000—9 36

Questionnaires to Travancore-Cochin

Secretariat File No. of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

[illegible]

The M.C. may be consulted officially if approved.

2
26/12/36

10

Gen Secy) Yes- Secy
26/12
7/36/12-

GENERAL SECRETARY. 26 DEC. 1936 153

"Forwarded with compliments to the Mungi Commissioner in ellyse for favour of furnishing his opinion in the matter

By order

Secy.o 24/Mung
& 28.12.36

Bangalore (28.12.36) Asst Secy Imm
for General Secy

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF [illegible] 1 JAN 1937 NO 4939

Gen Secy
P.C. 329/35-36

P.C. 329/35-36 29 DEC 1936

[illegible] the above circumstances of this case, a further [illegible] may be sanctioned from the State [illegible] during the year 1936-37, the [illegible] to [illegible] to that [illegible].

13 [illegible]

GENERAL SECRETARY. 15 JAN. 1937

[illegible] for approval Secy
17/1/37

Secy (Secretary)

Secy 18

Secy 19/1/37

Serial No.





File No. of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

Mys 62-36-1

M C 13.] No. 329 34-6

GENL. & REV. SECRETT. 7 NOV. 1936 MUZRAI BRANCH 451

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 4th November 1936.

5

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

To

GENERAL SECRETARY. 6 NOV. 1936

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

SIR,

Improvements to the Sri Lakshminarayanaswami Temple at Hosaholalu, Krishnarajpet Taluk.

Adverting to G.O.No.834-36/Muz.140-35-3 dated 18th December 1935 sanctioning a revised estimate for Rs.12,100 in respect of the above, I have the honor to state that Mr. Venkata Setty who has promised to contribute Rs.6,050 towards the estimated cost of improvements represented to me that interest at Savings Bank rate may be allowed on the sum of Rs.3,525 contributed by him and P.W.D. Deposits on the marginally noted dates. The Deputy Commissioner who was consulted about this representation, states that the money should have been held in a S.B. Account till the work was actually put on hand and that it is now too late in the day to regret the departure from this course.

Rs.3,468- 0- 1 on 16th September 1930.)
" 56-15-11 " 9th February 1932.)

2. Money deposited for purposes of repairs of the temple should have been deposited in a S.B. Account in accordance with G.O.No.1837-8/Muz.285-11-2 dated 8th February 1912. Apparently, the Taluk authorities lost sight of this standing order and transferred the amount paid by Mr. Venkata Setty direct to the P.W.D. long before the estimates were sanctioned. As the amount has all along been lying in the Government Treasury to the credit of the P.W.D. without being utilised till now, I recommend that, as a special case, ordinary savings Bank rate of interest may be allowed, subject to the stipulation that both the principal and interest

should be utilised towards the cost of improvements.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

PROCEEDINGS [illegible]

[illegible]

Read:-

Government order No. 34-36/ Miz 14, dated the 6th [illegible] 1935 sanctioning a revised estimate for [illegible] improvements to the [illegible] in Krishnarajapet Taluk.

Letter No.I.C.529/35-36 dated 5th November 1935 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore requesting that as a special case the ordinary Savings Bank rate of interest may be allowed on the amount of Rs.3525 contributed during 1935-36 by Sri. Shanta Setty for the improvements of the above temple and shortly credited to the Public Works Department Deposits instead of being deposited in Savings Bank account as required by the rules.

Un-official Note No.C.529/35-36 dated 11th December 1935 from the Muzrai Commissioner on the subject.

ORDER No. 1027-30/Miz 162-36 Dated Bangalore the 28th December 1935.

It is reported that Sri. Venkata Setty [illegible] contributed a sum of Rs.3,6[illegible] towards the estimated [illegible] improvements to the above temple requested that interest [illegible] Bank rate may be allowed on the sum of Rs.3525 contributed [illegible] him for the purpose and taken [illegible] Works Department [illegible]

Rs. 3400- -1	16-9-1900	[illegible] the rules in force
Rs. 100-	13-11	[illegible]
Rs. 3525- -		[illegible] of Rupees [illegible]

[illegible] Government [illegible] Savings [illegible] in accordance with Government [illegible] [illegible] Treasury [illegible] [illegible] this order [illegible] transferred to the amount paid by [illegible] to the Public Works Department [illegible] rates were sanctioned. [illegible] Treasury [illegible] Department [illegible]

without being utilised till now, the [illegible] recommended that ordinary savings bank rate of interest may be allowed on the amount on condition that [illegible] interest should be utilised towards the cost of improvements of the temple.

As the amount was not actually kept in savings bank account interest thereon at savings bank rates cannot be given. Government have already sanctioned a contribution of Rs.b2b/- in the Government Order read above for the improvements of the temple from the allotment of State and General Rural Development 1935-36. In the special circumstances of the case, Government are pleased to sanction a further contribution of Rs. bb2/- for the purpose from the State and General Rural [illegible] 1937-38, the liability of Sri.Venkata [illegible] being reduced to that extent, as recommended by the Rural Commissioner.

By Order of the General Secretary to Government

To

The Rural Commissioner in Mysore.

The Chief Engineer of Mysore.

The Comptroller to Government.

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section Mes3
File No. 162-36
Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 26-1- 193

Signed by Mr Mir Safdar Hussain

Read— G.O. No 834-36/ Mesg 140-35-3 dated 18.12.1935 re revised estimate for Rs 12,100 for effecting improvements to the Sri Lakshminarayanaswamy temple at Hosabolalu in Krishnarajpet Taluk

Order thereon.—No Letter No PC J 24/35 dated 5.11.1936 from the H.C. in Mysore requesting that as a special case ordinary Savings Bank rate of interest may be allowed on the amount of Rs 3525- contributed during 1930-32 by Mr. Venkata Lakkaya for the above improvements to the abo

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ? Yes

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

temple and wrongly credited
to the P.W.D instead of deposits admitted
to in S.B Accounts as required
by the Rules.

3) Vide Note P.C 329/25-36 dated
11.1.1937 by the M.C on the Subject
Circular 1027-30 Instructions

It is reported that Mr Venkata
Lathy who has promised to contribute a sum of
Rs 6050 towards the estimated cost
of improvements to the above temple
requested that interest at Savings
Bank Rate may be allowed on the
sum of Rs 3525 contributed
by him for the purpose
deposited on the marginally noted
dates. Money deposited for purposes
of Repairs of temples should have
been deposited in a S.B. Account
in accordance with G.O. 1897 & Mem
255-11-2 dt 2.1912. Apparently
the Talukdar authorities lost sight

Rs 3466-01 16.9.1930
List of Enclosure
Rs 58-14-11 9.2.1932
Rs 3525-00

Copied by
Examined by

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form*)

of this order and transferred the amount paid by Mr. Venkata Lakshmiah to the P.W.D (Deposit) before the estimates were sanctioned. As the amount has all along been lying in the Govt Treasury to the credit of the P.W.D without being utilised till now, the D.C has recommended that ordinary Savings Bank rate of interest may be allowed on the amount on condition that both the principal and interest should be utilised towards the cost of improvements to the temple.

As the amount had not actually been in S.B. Account, interest thereon at S.B. rates can not be given. Govt have already sanctioned a contribution of Rs 525- in the G.O. cited above for the purpose improvements of the temple from the allotment

of State and General Purpose
Fund grant for 1935-36. In
the special circumstances of the
case, Govt are pleased to sanction
a further contribution of Rs 5-2-8
for the purpose from the State and
General Purpose Fund grants
for 1937-38, the liability of M/s
Venkata Setty being reduced
to that extent, as recommended
by the Municipal Commissioner

2/11/37
Govt Secretary

The file is before
chief Engineer
Comptroller
Executive Engineer